arXiv:1607.00192v1 [math.DG] 1 Jul 2016

Bi-Hermitian metrics on Kato surfaces

A.Fujiki, M.Pontecorvo

July 4, 2016

Abstract

We investigate bi-Hermitian metrics on compact complex surfaces with odd first Betti number producing new examples with connected anti-canonical divisor using the general construction of [ABD15]. The result is a complete classification for all *unbranched* Kato surfaces and a classification up to logarithmic deformation for *intermediate* surfaces.

1 Introduction

By a bi-Hermitian surface we will mean in this work a Riemannian four–manifold (M, g) with two integrable complex structures J_+ and J_- which are g-orthogonal and induce the same orientation. To avoid the trivial case we assume there is $x \in M$ where $J_+(x) \neq \pm J_-(x)$. The compatibility of $J_\pm$ with a Riemannian metric – hence conformal structure $c := [g]$ – and an orientation is equivalent to the algebraic condition that, viewed as sections of $End(TM)$, they satisfy the following algebraic relation for some smooth function $p : M \to [-1, 1]$, see [Tr74] and [Po97, 1.5]

$$J_+J_- + J_-J_+ = -2p \cdot id.$$

The four-dimensional manifold M will always be assumed to be compact and in this situation it was shown in [Po97, 1.6] that $(M, c, J_\pm)$ can admit one more compatible complex structure if and only if is actually hyperhermitian: therefore a $K3$ surface, a complex torus or a special diagonal Hopf surface, by Boyer classification [Bo88].

Sort of conversely, one can consider the problem of finding all compact complex surfaces $S = (M, J)$ which admit a Hermitian metric g compatible with a different complex structure on S. The two complex structures may or may not be biholomorphic to each

other; the underlying conformal four–manifold $(M, c, J_\pm)$ is bi-Hermitian and the complex surface S is either (M, J_+) or (M, J_-).

The first obstruction which was observed [Po97], [AGG99, Prop.2] is that such an S needs to have an (automatically effective, or zero) numerical anticanonical divisor

$$\mathbf{T} = F \otimes K^* \tag{1}$$

where K will always denote the canonical line bundle, $K^* = K^{-1}$ the anticanonical bundle and F is a holomorphic line bundle of zero Chern class which is always either trivial or real of negative degree. Using the terminology of Dloussky [Dl06] we will refer to $\mathbf{T}$ as a NAC divisor.

In this work we will always identify, by abuse, a divisor with the corresponding holomorphic line bundle. Although, in general $\mathbf{T}$ is highly non-reduced, set theoretically its support is the set where the smooth function p has absolute value 1; in other words the support of $\mathbf{T}$ is the set where the two complex structures agree up sign:

$$\operatorname{supp} \mathbf{T} = \{x \in M : J_+(x) = J_-(x)\} \amalg \{x \in M : J_+(x) = -J_-(x)\}.$$

It is worthwhile noticing that each of the above two components turns out to be either empty or connected - by surface classification - so that if we set $\mathbf{t} := b_0(\mathbf{T})$ there are only three possibilities: $\mathbf{t} = 0, 1, 2$ all of which do actually occur.

As the case $b_1(S)$ even is fairly well understood [Go12], [Hi06-1] and blowing up points is compatible with bi-Hermitian metrics [FP10], [CG11] we will be interested in the case of minimal surfaces with $b_1(S)$ odd. It is known from the work of Apostolov [Ap01] that the fundamental equation (1) forces such a surface to be in class VII so that $b_1(S) = 1$ always. Furthermore S must be a Hopf surface when $b_2(S) = 0$ or a Kato surface when $b_2(S) > 0$ [Dl06].

Flat holomorphic line bundles of real type

Denoting by (S, J) or simply by S either of the two compact complex surfaces $(M, J_\pm)$ we will be particularly interested in $\mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathbb{R}}(S)$ by which we mean holomorphic line bundles in the image of $H^1_{dR}(M, \mathbb{R})$ and in the kernel of the first Chern class map. Recall that for class-VII surfaces we have an isomorphism $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}) \cong H^1(S, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$, the group of zero-Chern class line bundles is non-compact $\mathrm{Pic}^0(S) \cong H^1(S, \mathbb{C}^*) \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ and we will denote by $\mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathbb{R}}(S)$ the flat holomorphic line bundles of real type coming from the imbedding $H^1_{dR}(M, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow H^1(M, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\exp} H^1(M, \mathbb{C}^*)$ so that elements of $\mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathbb{R}}$ are complexifications of line bundles whose transition functions are real and locally constant. Such line bundles are associated to real closed 1-forms via the exponential map or, after fixing a Riemannian metric $g \in c$ to g-harmonic real 1-forms. We will use Convention 2.1 in [AD15] and

identify two real flat line bundles L_α and $L_{\alpha'}$ in $H^1(M,\mathbb{R}^{>0})$ if they correspond to closed 1-forms in the same cohomology class $a \in H^1_{dR}(M,\mathbb{R})$. Furthermore, we will denote by $\mathcal{L}_a \in \mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathbb{R}}(S)$ the flat holomorphic line bundle of real type which is the complexifiation of $L_a \in H^1(M,\mathbb{R}^{>0})$.

We can now describe two main reasons for our interest in flat holomorphic line bundles of real type and we will denote this correspondence by the morphism

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \exp: & H^1_{dR}(M,\mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathbb{R}}(S) \cong \mathbb{R}^{>0} \\ & a & \longmapsto & \mathcal{L}_a \end{array}$$

which sends $a+b$ to the tensor product $\mathcal{L}_a \otimes \mathcal{L}_b = \mathcal{L}_{(a+b)}$. While for the dual line bundle we use the notation $\mathcal{L}_a^* = \mathcal{L}_{-a}$.

We start by introducing the *Lee form* of an arbitrary Hermitian metric g on the complex surface S. In real dimension four the fundamental $(1,1)$-form $\omega(\cdot,\cdot) := g(J\cdot,\cdot)$ being non-degenerate induces an isomorphism $\omega : \Lambda^1 \to \Lambda^3$ taking $a \mapsto a \wedge \omega$ therefore there always exists θ such that $d\omega = \theta \wedge \omega$. This real 1-form θ is called the Lee form of (g,J) and notice that $b_1(S) = odd$ implies that its harmonic part $\theta_h \neq 0$ by a result of Gauduchon, see [AG07, Prop.1]. Notice that under conformal rescaling of the metric $e^h g$ the Lee form transforms as $\theta + dh$, so that its de Rham class remains well defined.

In order to obtain flat line bundles we need to look at *closed* 1-forms. The first source comes from locally conformal Kähler metrics which we abbreviate by l.c.K. and correspond to the condition $d\theta = 0$ – i.e. $\theta = df$ locally so that $e^{-f}g$ is a local Kähler metric on S conformal to the original one. We get in this way a real flat bundle L_θ whose complexification has the structure of a holomorphic flat line bundle of real type $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ with operator $\overline{\partial}_\theta := \overline{\partial} - \theta^{0,1}\wedge$. We call this bundle the *Lee bundle* of the l.c.K. metric; it is never trivial because $b_1(S)$ is odd. It also follows from the above discussion that the l.c.K. fundamental $(1,1)$-form ω is a 2-form with values in L_θ^*, closed with respect to the differential operator $d_\theta := (d - \theta\wedge)$.

A second source of closed real 1-forms on a compact complex surface comes from bi-Hermitian structures $(M,c,J_\pm)$. For any $g \in c$ consider the two Lee forms $\theta_\pm$ of the Hermitian metrics $(g,J_\pm)$ which of course are real and need not be closed individually; however by [AGG99, Lemma 1] the sum $\theta_+ + \theta_-$ is always closed and it was shown in [AGG99, Prop. 2] that there always is a holomorphic section of $F \otimes K^*$ where F is the flat holomorphic line bundle of real type $F := \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}(\theta_+ + \theta_-)}$.

The resulting effective (or zero) divisor $\mathbf{T} := F \otimes K^*$ is then a NAC divisor in both $(M,J_\pm)$ and recall that $0 \leq \mathbf{t} := b_0(\mathbf{T}) \leq 2$ by surface classification.

The case $\mathbf{t} = 0$ was completely understood in [AD08] while it is shown in [FP14] that $\mathbf{t} = 2$ corresponds to the so called *generalized Kähler* case – i.e. $\theta_+ = -\theta_-$ [AG07]. In

this work we will mainly be concerned with the situation when $\mathbf{t} = 1$ and S is a Kato surface – i.e. a minimal complex surface with a global spherical shell and $b_2(S) > 0$.

Degree of holomorphic line bundles

By a strong result of Gauduchon [Ga84], in every conformal class c of Hermitian metrics on a compact complex surface S there is a unique representative $g \in c$ whose Lee form θ is coclosed or equivalently whose fundamental $(1,1)$-form ω is $\partial\overline{\partial}$-closed. Such a g will be called a *Gauduchon metric;* it can be used to define the notion of degree of a holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(S)$ as follows [Ga81]:

$$\deg_g(\mathcal{L}) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_M \rho \wedge \omega$$

where ρ is the real curvature 2-form of a holomorphic connection on $\mathcal{L}$, which is closed and well defined modulo $\partial\overline{\partial}$-exact forms. In this way the degree measures the g-volume with sign of a virtual meromorphic section of $\mathcal{L}$: in particular $deg(\mathcal{L}) > 0$ whenever $H^0(S, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{L} \neq \mathcal{O}$.

It is shown in [AD15] that in the special case $\mathcal{L}$ is a flat holomorphic line bundle of real type so that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_a$ for some $a \in H^1_{dR}(M, \mathbb{R})$, then:

$$2\pi \deg(\mathcal{L}_a) = -\langle a, \theta\rangle = -\langle a_h, \theta_h\rangle \tag{2}$$

where θ is the Lee form of the Gauduchon metric g with g-harmonic part denoted by θ_h while a_h denotes the g-harmonic part of the 1-form a and $\langle , \rangle$ is the global inner product with respect to g; the last equality holds because a is closed and θ is coclosed.

In our case we always have $b_1(S) = 1$ and therefore the composition

$$\deg_g : H^1_{dR}(S, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathbb{R}}(S) \to \mathbb{R} \tag{3}$$

is a linear isomorphism since $\theta_h \neq 0$.

The isomorphism itself depends on the Gauduchon metric, however because the space of Gauduchon metrics is connected (actually convex) and $H^1_{dR}(S, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$ is not, it follows that – for a fixed de Rham class a – the sign of the degree of $\mathcal{L}_a \in \mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathbb{R}}(S)$ does not depend on the particular conformal class but depends on the complex structure J alone.

Following [ABD15], in the case $b_1(S) = 1$ one can therefore define an orientation on $H^1_{dR}(S, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ by setting $a > b$ if and only if $\deg(\mathcal{L}_a) > \deg(\mathcal{L}_b)$ for some (hence any) Gauduchon metric on (S, J).

For a fixed class $a \in H^1_{dR}(M, \mathbb{R})$ the sign of the degree of $\mathcal{L}_a$ may actually depend on the particular complex structure as the following proposition shows.

Before getting to this result we recall a link with generalized Kähler geometry of Gualtieri who showed that this geometry always gives rise to bi-Hermitian metrics satisfying certain equations which in our four-dimensional case $(M, c, J_\pm)$ amount to the condition that the two Lee forms admit a common Gauduchon metric in c and satisfy $\theta_+ = -\theta_-$ with respect to this metric. To be more precise there actually is a twisting coefficient $[H] \in H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$ which turns out to vanish if and only if $b_1(M)$ is even [AG07, Prop. 3].

Therefore in the case under study – i.e. $b_1(M) =$ odd – a *twisted generalized Kähler* structure is a bi-Hermitan surface with trivial fundamental flat line bundle: $F = \mathcal{O}$ because $F = \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}(\theta_+ + \theta_-)}$ by [AGG99].

We can now state our result as follows; it will be used in the proof of 2.1 and 4.2.

Proposition 1.1 *A compact bi-Hermitian surface $(M, c, J_\pm)$ with $b_1(M) = 1$ is twisted generalized Kähler if and only if $\mathbf{t} = 2$, if and only if the two complex structures J_+ and J_- induce opposite orientations on $H^1_{dR}(M, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. The fact that $\mathbf{t} = 2$ is equivalent to $F = \mathcal{O}$ was shown in one direction in [AGG99, Prop. 4] and in [FP14, 4.7] for the other direction.

Now, the degree of F was computed in [Ap01] using the already mentioned result of [AGG99] that the fundamental line bundle satisfies $F = \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}(\theta_+ + \theta_-)}$. It then follows from equation (2) that the degree with respect to the J_+–Gauduchon metric is $2\pi \deg_{J_+}(F) = -\langle \theta_+, \frac{1}{2}(\theta_+ + \theta_-)\rangle = -\frac{1}{4}||\theta_+ + \theta_-||^2$ because the equality $||\theta_+||^2 = ||\theta_-||^2$ holds for any metric in the conformal class [Po97].

The first consequence is that $F = \mathcal{O}$ if and only if $\mathbf{t} = 2$, if and only if $\theta_+ = -\theta_-$. But in this case $\delta\theta_- = 0$ with respect to the J_+-Gauduchon metric and by equation (2) we have that for any $a \in H^1_{dR}(, \mathbb{R})$

$$2\pi \deg_{J_+}(\mathcal{L}_a) = -\langle \theta_+, a\rangle = \langle \theta_-, a\rangle = -2\pi \deg_{J_-}(\mathcal{L}_a)$$

with respect to the common $J_\pm$-Gauduchon metric, showing that the induced orientations are opposite.

Finally, when $\mathbf{t} \neq 2$ we compute $2\pi \deg_{J_-}(F) = -\langle \theta_-, \frac{1}{2}(\theta_+ + \theta_-)\rangle = -\frac{1}{4}||\theta_+ + \theta_-||^2 < 0$ with respect to any J_- -Gauduchon metric, as $F \neq \mathcal{O}$. This is enough to infer that the induced orientations agree in this case, as $b_1(M) = 1$. □

To conclude this section we observe that the degree of a Lee bundle – i.e. the holomorphic flat line bundle of a l.c.K. metric – is always strictly negative, because from equation (2) it follows that $\deg(\mathcal{L}_\theta) = -||\theta_h||^2 < 0$ otherwise g would be conformally Kähler and $b_1(S)$ even. In particular then, $H^0(S, \mathcal{L}_\theta) = 0$ and the same holds for any positive power.

2 Parabolic Inoue surfaces

The Enriques-Kodaira classification divides minimal complex surfaces into seven classes according to their Kodaira dimension and wether they are Kählerian or not. We say that S belongs to class VII_0^+ if S is minimal with odd first Betti number, its Kodaira dimension is $-\infty$ and furthermore $b_2(S) > 0$. All such surfaces actually satisfy $b_1(S) = 1$, have algebraic dimension 0 and a finite number of curves; in fact at most $b_2(S)$ rational curves.

The only known examples of class-VII_0^+ surfaces are so called Kato surfaces [Ka77] and by definition they admit a *global spherical shell* (GSS) that is an open set U biholomorphic to a neighborhood of $S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ with the property that $S \setminus U$ is connected.

There has recently been some attention to the complex and Hermitian geometry of such surfaces by several authors. For example, Dloussky-Oijeklaus-Toma proved in [DOT03] that a class-VII_0^+ surface with $b_2(S)$ rational curves is necessarily a Kato surface. Dloussky gave a different characterization of Kato surfaces by showing that it is enough to have a divisor $D = G \otimes K^{-m}$ where K denotes the canonical bundle as usual, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and G is a holomorphic line bundle of zero Chern class [Dl06]. Furthermore, all Kato surfaces can be deformed into blown-up Hopf surfaces, in particular they are diffeomorphic to $(S^1 \times S^3) \sharp b_2(S) \overline{\mathbb{CP}_2}$ [Na89] and they all admit l.c.K. metrics by a strong result of Brunella [Br11].

Among Kato surfaces, parabolic Inoue surfaces [In77] can be characterized by having the following configuration of curves: there is a cycle C consisting of $b_2(S)$ rational curves and a smooth elliptic curve E with the following intersection numbers: $C^2 = 0 = E \cdot C$ while $E^2 = -b_2(S) = c_1^2(S)$. The first equation says that the cycle C corresponds to a flat line bundle also denoted by $C \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(S)$ and of course $\deg(C) = \mathrm{vol}(C) > 0$. Furthermore, the anticanonical bundle is represented by the maximal curve and satisfies $K^* = C \otimes E$ [Na89].

We now pass to discuss bi-Hermitian metrics on parabolic Inoue surfaces. The first construction is due to LeBrun [Le91] who used his hyperbolic ansatz to produce anti-self-dual Hermitian metrics which are indeed bi-Hermitian of twisted generalized Kähler type, i.e. satisfy $\mathbf{t} = 2$, as observed in [Po97].

Then came a twistor construction [FP10] which also produced anti-self-dual bi-Hermitian metrics on parabolic Inoue surfaces satisfying a certain *reality* condition which will be made clear in what follows. The construction in [FP10] starts from a Joyce twistor space which after some blow-ups and identification becomes a singular three-fold $\hat{Z}$ with normal crossing singularities.

According to the general theory [DF89] this singular space $\hat{Z}$ admits smooth defor-

mations which happen to be twistor spaces exactly when they have a *real structure* and indeed these particular deformations correspond to a well identified real subspace of the complex parameter space of the versal deformation of $\hat{Z}$.

In order to better understand the reality condition we have:

Proposition 2.1 *Let $(M, c, J_\pm)$ be any anti-self-dual bi-Hermitian structure on a parabolic Inoue surface. Then the Lee form θ of the common Gauduchon metric is harmonic and satisfies $\mathcal{L}_\theta^* = C$. In particular, the cycle of rational curves C must represent a flat holomorphic line bundle of real type.*

Proof. As the conformal class of the metric is anti-self-dual the total space of the twistor fibration $t : Z \to M$ is a complex three-manifold [AHS78] whose canonical bundle K_Z always admits a natural square root indicated by $K_Z^{1/2}$ [Hi81]. The conformal Hermitian metrics $(c, J_\pm)$ are automatically l.c.K. by a result of Boyer [Bo86] so that the Lee form of the J_+-Gauduchon metric g is actually harmonic; by [Po97] g turns out to also be J_--Gauduchon with Lee form $-\theta$.

Now, the compatible complex structure J_+ defines a smooth and disconnected divisor $X_+ = \Sigma_+ \otimes \bar{\Sigma}_+ \subset Z$ which is the image of the tautological sections J_+ and $-J_+$ of the twistor fibration.

We get in this way a natural identification $\Sigma_+ \cong S_+$ and it was shown in [Po92] that the following equation of line bundles holds on Z

$$X_+ = K_Z^{-1/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \tag{4}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is a flat holomorphic line bundle of real type which is the pull-back of the Lee bundle $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ of (M, g, J_+): $\mathcal{L} = t^*(\mathcal{L}_\theta)$, see [Po97, 3.4].

Since the Lee form of J_- happens to be $-\theta$, the same equation holds for J_-, with $\mathcal{L}$ replaced by $\mathcal{L}^*$ and we get the following relation on the twistor space Z

$$\mathcal{L}^2 = X_+^* \otimes X_- \tag{5}$$

This shows that the flat line bundle $\mathcal{L}^2$ is a divisor on Z and henceforth $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ is also a divisor on the complex surface $S_+ = (M, J_+)$ because this surface can be identified with the hypersurface $\Sigma_+ \subset Z$.

Now, it is not hard to see (and well known) that the only divisors of zero self-intersection on parabolic Inoue surfaces must be multiples of the cycle C and we infer that on S_+ the Lee bundle $\mathcal{L}_\theta = C^m$, for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. In order to identify this multiple it is enough to restrict everything to C itself, using equation (5).

For doing so we recall from [Po97] and [FP10] that the two twistor divisors X_+ and X_- intersect each other – transversally, except that they are tangential at the nodes of C – in the following configuration: the intersection $X_+ \cap X_-$ has four connected components consisting of the maximal curve $K^*_{J_+}$ in $\Sigma_+ \cong (M, J_+)$ and the maximal curve $K^*_{J_-}$ in $\Sigma_- \cong (M, J_-)$. We conclude that

$$\mathcal{L}_\theta^2 = C^*_{|\Sigma_+} \otimes C_{|\Sigma_-}$$

therefore, by the result of Proposition (1.1) we get in S_+ that $\mathcal{L}_\theta^2 = C^{-2}$, that is $\mathcal{L}_\theta^* = C$ because there is no torsion in $\mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathbb{R}}(S) \cong H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^{>0}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{>0}$. □

It is shown in [FP10, Lemma 3.8] that the moduli space of parabolic Inoue surfaces of fixed b_2 is complex 1-dimensional isomorphic to the punctured unit disc $D^* = \{0 < |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$, more precisely the complex parameter of the deformation corresponds to the period of the elliptic curve E and also to the image of the cycle C in $Pic^0(S) \cong \mathbb{C}^*$.

We will say that a parabolic Inoue surface S is *of real type* if S is biholomorphic to S_t with $t \in \mathbb{R}$; in particular, this happens if and only if its cycle $C \subset S$ is an element of $Pic^0_{\mathbb{R}}(S)$.

Furthermore, the constructions of [Le91] and [FP10] show that every parabolic Inoue surface of real type – i.e. such that $C \in Pic^0_{\mathbb{R}}(S)$ – admits anti-self-dual bi-Hermitian structures with holomorphic $\mathbb{C}^*$-action which is the complexification of an isometric S^1-action.

We summarize our results in the following statement

Theorem 2.2 *A parabolic Inoue surface $S = (M, J)$ admits anti-self-dual bi-Hermitian metrics if and only if its cycle of rational curves C is real: $C \in Pic^0_{\mathbb{R}}(S)$; this holds if and only if S is of real type. The Lee form θ of this conformal structure is harmonic, with respect to the Gauduchon metric and the Lee bundle satisfies $\mathcal{L}_\theta^* = C$.*

3 New bi-Hermitian metrics with $\mathbf{t} = 1$

We start this section by recalling a strong result of Apostolov-Bailey-Dloussky

Theorem 3.1 *[ABD15] Let $S = (M, J)$ be a compact surface in class VII which admits a l.c.K. metric and denote by $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ the Lee bundle of this metric. Suppose S also admits a NAC divisor $\mathbf{T} = F \otimes K^*$ satisfying $F = \mathcal{L}_\theta$ then, M has bi-Hermitian metrics $(g, J_\pm)$ such that the following holds*

1. $J_+ = J$

2. $\mathbf{T} = F \otimes K^*$ *is the fundamental divisor of the bi-Hermitian structure* $(M, [g], J_\pm)$

3. $\mathbf{T}$ *is connected:* $b_0(\mathbf{T}) =: \mathbf{t} = 1$

Remark 3.2 *Assume as usual that S is minimal, the existence of the NAC divisor $\mathbf{T}$ forces S to be a Hopf surface when $b_2(S) = 0$ or else a Kato surface, for $b_2(S) > 0$. These surfaces always admit l.c.K. metrics [GO99] [Be00] [Br11]. So the important point here is the relation between NAC divisors (which are often unique, see 4.1) and Lee bundles.*

Every Hopf surface turns out to admit l.c.K. metrics with potential [GO99] while diagonal Hopf surfaces admit l.c.K. metrics with parallel Lee form and by taking a 0-type deformation [Be00] they have Lee bundles in every possible de Rham class. By a small deformation the same holds for every Hopf surface [AD15, 5.1].

This fact was then used to show that Hopf surfaces admit bi-Hermitian metrics with $\mathbf{t} = 1$ if and only if they have a NAC divisor $F \otimes K^*$ (which, for Hopf surfaces is no obstruction) with F of real type [ABD15].

For Kato surfaces the situation is different: because the universal cover has compact curves they cannot have l.c.K. metrics with potential the result of 2.2 points out a new Lee class in parabolic Inoue surfaces of real type which will be shown to produce new bi-Hermitian metrics. △

Recall that all Kato surfaces have exactly $b_2 > 0$ rational curves some of which (maybe all) forming at least one (and at most two) cycle(s). We say that a Kato surface S is *unbranched* if every rational curve belongs to a cycle. The other Kato surfaces are *branched* and they are also called *intermediate,* as we will discuss in the last section.

Corollary 3.3 *An unbranched Kato surface S admits bi-Hermitian metrics with $\mathbf{t} = 1$ if and only if S is a parabolic Inoue surface of real type.*

Proof. It is shown in [ABD15] and [FP14] that if $(M, c, J_\pm)$ is a bi-Hermitian structure with fundamental NAC divisor $\mathbf{T} = F \otimes K^*$ and $\mathbf{t} = 1$ then $S = (M, J_+)$ is either a Hopf surface or a parabolic Inoue surface. The fundamental divisor is a smooth elliptic curve, possibly with multiplicities: in fact $\mathbf{T} = E^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ when S is a Hopf surface and bi-Hermitian metrics with this properties where constructed in [ABD15] for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

The only other possibility is that (M, J_+) is parabolic Inoue and $m = 1$, so that the fundamental divisor of the bi-Hermitian structure is the reduced smooth elliptic curve E, since $K^* = E \otimes C$ we conclude that the cycle $C = F^*$ corresponds to a flat holomorphic line bundle of real type, i.e. the parabolic Inoue surface is of real type.

Viceversa, let S be such a parabolic Inoue surface and let $(c, J_\pm)$ be an anti-self-dual bi-Hermitian structure with $S = (M, J_+)$ as in the previous section. Recall that anti-self-dual Hermitian metrics are always l.c.K. [Bo86] and that we showed in the previous section that the Lee bundle of such a metric satisfies $\mathcal{L}_\theta^* = C$. The conclusion now follows from theorem 3.1. □

Remark 3.4 *These are the first examples of bi-Hermitian Kato surfaces with* $\mathbf{t} = 1$. *In order to complete the classification it is enough to consider branched Kato surfaces (also called* intermediate*) of index one – i.e. admitting a divisor* $D = G \otimes K^*$ *with* $c_1(G) = 0$. *It is known in this case [Dl06] that the NAC divisor is unique and contains the maximal curve which is connected, in particular we always have* $\mathbf{t} = 1$ *if a branched Kato surface is bi-Hermitian. In [FP15] we described some geometric obstructions to existence of bi-Hermitian metrics on intermediate – i.e. branched – Kato surfaces.* △

4 Deformations

The deformation theory of l.c.K. structures with Lee form θ on a compact complex manifold $X = (M, J)$ is governed by the twisted de Rham complex defined by the differential operator $d_\theta := (d - \theta\wedge)$ which satisfies $d_\theta^2 = 0$ because θ is closed. We will denote by $H^r(M, L_\theta^*)$ the corresponding cohomology groups which only depend on the smooth structure of M; recall from the introduction that the fundamental $(1,1)$-form $\omega \in H^2(M, L_\theta^*)$. It is also inportant to consider the twisted Dolbeaut complex defined by the differential operator $\overline{\partial}_\theta := (\overline{\partial} - \theta^{0,1}\wedge)$ whose cohomolgy groups $H^{p,q}(X, \mathcal{L}_\theta^*)$ are isomorphic to the sheaf cohomology groups $H^q(X, \Omega^p \otimes \mathcal{L}_\theta^*)$ by Dolbeaut theorem and therefore heavily depend on the complex structure J.

More precisely it is shown by Goto [Go14] that the deformation theory of l.c.K. structures is completely unobstructed if the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-lemma holds at degree $(1,2)$ and if furthermore $H^3(M, L_\theta^*) = 0$. Notice that the first condition holds automatically when $H^{0,2}(X, \mathcal{L}_\theta^*) \cong H^2(X, \mathcal{L}_\theta^*) = 0$ while the second is a smooth invariant one.

Finally, because we are interested in l.c.K. and bi-Hermitian structures on small deformations of a given Kato surface S let us notice that in dimension two we have $H^2(S, \mathcal{L}_\theta^*) \cong H^0(S, K \otimes \mathcal{L}_\theta)$ by Serre duality; for this reason we now recall some known results about twisted pluri (anti-)canonical sections on class-VII surfaces, see [En81], [DO99] and [Dl06]:

Proposition 4.1 *Let* S *be a surface in class* VII_0^+ *and* $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(S)$ *then,*

1. $H^0(S, K^m \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$ *for all* $m > 0$ *and all* $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(S)$.

2. *$H^0(S, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$ for some $\mathcal{L} \neq \mathcal{O}$ if and only if S is an Enoki surface and $\mathcal{L} = C^m$ is a multiple of the cycle of rational curves $C \subset S$.*

3. *Assume S is not an Enoki surface. Then, $H^0(S, K^{-m} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$ for some $m > 0$ if and only if S is a Kato surface. The least such m is called the index of the Kato surface and the line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(S) \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ is unique.*

4. *When S is an Enoki surface, $H^0(S, K^{-m} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$ with $m > 0$ holds if and only if S is parabolic Inoue in which case the index $m = 1$, furthermore $\mathcal{L}$ is either trivial or $\mathcal{L} = C^{-m}$ where C is the unique cycle.*

The following computation follows easily from vanishing results which have already been observed in [dLLMP03], [Go14, prop.6.1] and [AD15].

Lemma 4.2 *Let S be a Kato surface (or more in general a class-VII surface with a cycle of rational curves) and L a non-trivial flat line bundle on S, then $H^p(S, L) = 0$ for all $p \neq 2$ and $dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(S, L) = b_2(S)$.*

Proof. The statement is invariant under diffeomorphisms therefore is enough to recall a result of Nakamura [Na89] stating that S is diffeomorphic to a blown up Hopf surface, we denote it by $\check{S}$ the minimal model. After a deformation, we can assume that $\check{S}$ is bi-Hermitian with $\mathbf{t} = 2$ and admits Vaisman metrics with arbitrary Lee form for both complex structures. It then follows by a result of [dLLMP03] that $H^p(\check{S}, L) = 0$ for every non-trivial flat line L. Finally, the result follows because the blow up map $b : S \to \check{S}$ induces a surjection $H^p(\check{S}, L) \to H^p(S, b^*(L))$ for every $p \neq 2$, by [AD15, Lemma 3.3]. To conclude is enough to recall that $\chi(S, L) = \chi(S) = b_2(S)$. □

Remark 4.3 *Notice that instead when L is trivial, i.e. the differential operator is the de Rham operator, we have Betti numbers $b_i(S) = 1$ for each $i \neq 2$.*

From the work of Goto [Go14] we then get the following result which was also proved by Brunella in his explicit construction [Br10, Theorem 2].

Corollary 4.4 *The deformation theory of l.c.K. structures on Kato surfaces is completely unobstructed in the sense that for a l.c.K. Kato surface S with Lee bundle L, every small deformation (S_t, L_s) of the pair is still l.c.K. with Lee bundle L_s.*

For a compact complex surface S with odd first Betti number Apostolv-Dloussky considered in [AD15] the following set

$$\mathcal{C}(S) := \{\theta \in H^1_{dR}(S, \mathbb{R}) \mid \theta \text{ is the Lee form of a l.c.K. metric}\}$$

and observed that $\mathcal{C}(S) \subset (-\infty, 0) \subset H^1_{dR}(S, \mathbb{R})$ always, using the orientation induced by the complex structure; when S is a Kato surface $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is open and contains an interval $(-\infty, B_S)$ by Brunella's construction [Br11, Remark 3] and the non-positive constant B_S varies smoothly under deformations. Finally, $B_S = 0$ when S is a (blown up) Hopf surface [AD15, Prop. 5.1].

One could ask the following
Question. Does $B_S = 0$ for every Kato surface S?

5 Intermediate surfaces

Any Kato surface S contains a global spherical shell (GSS) and can be obtained by successive blow ups of the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^2$ starting from the origin and continuing to blow up a point on the last constructed exceptional curve until, in order to get a compact complex surface, we take the quotient by a biholomorphism σ which identifies a small ball centered at a point p in the last exceptional curve with a small ball around the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ in such a way that $\sigma(p) = 0$, see the picture on [Dl14, p.5]. This process constructs $b := b_2(S)$ rational curves $D_1, \ldots, D_b$ of self-intersection ≤ -2 which are the only rational curves in S which is then minimal.

When all the blow ups occur at a generic point of the exceptional curve we get $D_i^2 = -2$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, b$ and the divisor $D_1 + \cdots + D_b$ is a cycle C of rational curves of self-intersection $C^2 = 0$. In this particular case S is called an Enoki surface and is the only case in which $D_1, \ldots, D_b$ do not span $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$. An Enoki surface admitting a compact curve E which is not rational smooth is called a parabolic Inoue surface, in this case E must be smoth elliptic with $E^2 = -b$; these surfaces always admit a holomorphic vector field and are the only Enoki surfaces with these properties.

In what follows we will be interested in *intermediate Kato* surfaces which is equivalent to ask that there is at least one blowing up at a generic point and at least one at the intersection of two curves.

The name comes from the Dloussky number of a Kato surface which is defined to be $Dl(S) := -(D_1^2 + \cdots + D_b^2)$ and is easily seen to satisfy the inequalities $2b \leq Dl(S) \leq 3b$. In fact $Dl(S) = 2b$ if and only if S is Enoki while the other equality $Dl(S) = 3b$ holds precisely for so called hyperbolic Inoue or half Inoue surfaces. All other Kato surfaces are

therefore *intermediate* also called *branched* because it turns out that there is at least one tree in the dual graph representing the configuration of the b rational curves in S.

Now, an Enoki covering of a Kato surface S is an open covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{0\leq i\leq n-1}$ adapted to the previously described blow-up process, see [Dl14, def. 26]. Fixing these charts one is lead to consider global families of Kato surfaces parametrized by the coordinates of the blown up points. Because we are interested in new Kato surfaces with bi-Hermitian structure we consider a large family

$$\Phi : \mathcal{S} \to B$$

in which all surfaces are intermediate of index 1; this is equivalent to say that each surface $S_u \in \mathcal{S}$ in the family satisfies $h^0(S_u, G_u \otimes K^*) \neq 0$ for a unique $G_u \in Pic^o(S_u)$ [DO99].

Following [Dl14, p.7] the family $\mathcal{S}$ can be extended towards a unique hyperbolic or half Inoue surface or else towards Enoki surfaces by moving generic blown up points towards a nearby intersection of two rational curves.

We denote by

$$\hat{\Phi} : \hat{\mathcal{S}} \to \hat{B}$$

this extended family which contains all intermediate Kato surfaces of index 1 [Dl14, Cor.3.16] plus smaller strata which are Kato surfaces with a different configuration of rational curves obtained by deformations of surfaces in $\mathcal{S}$. The tangent space to logarithmic deformations of a Kato surface S with maximal curve D has dimension $h^1(S, \Theta(-\log D))$ which equals the total number of irreducible components in the regular sequences of the configuration and is also equal to the number of generic blow-up points [Dl14, Theorem 3.11].

Before stating the next result we recall the following notation for holomorphic flat line bundles on GSS surfaces from [DO99, Definition 1.1] which we will use in the course of the proof. Every Kato surface S admits a "standard" open covering with only two double intersections called U and W. The holomorphic line bundle L^ν is then defined by the cocycle $\{\nu \in \mathcal{O}^*(U)\ ;\ 1 \in \mathcal{O}^*(W)\}$. This defines a flat bundle for each constant $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^*$. However, because $H^1(S, \mathbb{C}^*) = Pic^o(S) \cong \mathbb{C}^*$, these are all the flat holomorphic line bundles on S. In particular, flat holomorphic line bundles of real type correspond to values of $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ and the trivial line bundle to $\nu = 1$.

Finally, the sign of the degree was computed in [Dl14, Lemma 4.21] and for any Gauduchon metric on S_u one has: $\deg L^\nu = C(u) \log |\nu|$ for some smooth *negative* function $C(u)$ defined on the base B of the deformation, which smoothly extends to $C = 0$ on $\hat{B} \setminus B$ [Dl14, Proposition 4.24].

Theorem 5.1 *Every intermediate Kato surface S of index 1 admits a logarithmic deformation S_λ which is bi-Hermitian with $\mathbf{t} = 1$.*

Proof. The following proof relies on the work of Dloussky. By [DO99, Lemma 4.2, 3)] a Kato surface admits a NAC divisor of index 1 if and only if it admits twisted vector fields. We start the proof from the *simple case* – i.e. S admits only one branch. In this case, by the result of [Dl14, prop. 4.24], there is a logarithmic deformation $\{S_u\}$ of S defined by a Favre contracting germ of the form

$$F_u(z_1, z_2) = (\lambda(u) z_1 z_2^s + P_u(z_2), z_2^\kappa)$$

The coefficient $\lambda = \lambda(u)$ is of particular interest because for $\lambda(u) = (\kappa \cdot \nu(u))^{-1}$ [Dl14, 4.25, 1)] the surface S_u admits a unique NAC divisor corresponding to $H^0(S_u, K^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\nu(u)}) \neq 0$. Here the constant $\kappa = \kappa(S)$ is a topological invariant which computes the index of the sublattice spanned by the rational curves in $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$. In particular, the integer κ does not depend on the variable u and was computed in [Dl11], [FP15] to satisfy $\kappa \geq 2$ for intermediate surfaces. Because we are interested in bi-Hermitian metrics we would like the flat holomorphic line bundle $L^{\nu(u)}$ to be of real type with negative degree. This is the case if and only if $\nu(u)$ is real with $\nu(u) > 1$ [Dl14, 4.21]; which holds whenever the leading coefficient λ satisfies $0 < \lambda(u) < 1/\kappa$.

Therefore each element S_λ of the family $\{S_u\}_{u \in B}$ with λ real, positive and sufficiently small admits a NAC divisor $K^* \otimes L^{\nu(\lambda)}$ with $L^{\nu(\lambda)}$ a flat holomorphic line bundle of real type and negative degree.

As λ goes to zero the Favre contracting germ of S_λ degenerates, however the surfaces S_λ converge to a Kato surface $\bar{S}$ which can have arbitrary index or could even be an Enoki surface without NAC divisors at all. This degeneration is in fact described geometrically in [Dl12, Prop.4.35]; it corresponds to moving the coordinates of the root in the dual graph of rational curves. As the root moves from a generic position to a nearby intersection point of the graph – always constrained to stay on the same rational curve – the coefficient λ degenerates; the configuration of rational curves changes, while their total number remains fixed.

Finally, as $\bar{S}$ is a Kato surface, by Brunella's theorem [Br10] [Br11] it admits l.c.K. metrics with Lee form $t\theta$ for values of t which have $+\infty$ as a limit point. By Goto's stability there exist l.c.K. metrics on every small deformation of $\bar{S}$ with Lee form sufficiently large. In particular for the constructed real family $\{S_\lambda\}$ of index 1 we have: l.c.K. metrics with Lee form $\nu\theta$ for some very large real values of the parameter $\nu = (\kappa\lambda)^{-1}$ and a NAC divisor $K^* \otimes L^\nu$ for every value of ν. We conclude by [ABD15] that any such *real* intermediate Kato surface S_λ admits bi-Hermitian metrics with $\mathbf{t} = 1$, for $\lambda = (\kappa\nu)^{-1}$.

To finish the proof we have to consider the case when S has ρ branches; we can apply the argument of Dloussky [Dl12, Prop.4.36]: by [OT08] we write the germ F of S as a composition of simples germs: $F = \prod_{j=1}^{\rho} F_j$ notice that the invariant λ is multiplicative

so that $\lambda = \prod_{j=1}^{\rho} \lambda_j$ and conclude that one can make λ real and small by moving one or more roots in the dual graph of rational curves. $\square$

Remark 5.2 *Alternatively, one could start the above proof by taking $\bar{S}$ to be a Hyperbolic Inoue surface with maximal curve D. Because the pair $(\bar{S}, D)$ is rigid, we see that small deformations of $\bar{S}$ can have arbitrary configurations of curves and in particular any configuration of index one appears as a small deformation S_λ of $\bar{S}$. For λ real, positive and small S_λ has a NAC divisor $F \otimes K^*$ with F real of negative degree. Furthermore, by stability, F can be chosen to be the Lee bundle of a l.c.K. metric and therefore gives rise to bi-Hermitian structures by the Apostolv-Bailey-Dloussky construction.*

References

[Ap01] Apostolov V., Bihermitian surfaces with odd first Betti number *Math. Zeit.* **238** (2001), 555-568.

[ABD15] Apostolov V., Bailey M., Dloussky G., From locally conformally Khler to bi-Hermitian structures on non-Khler complex surfaces. *Math. Res. Lett.* **22** (2015) 317–336.

[AD08] Apostolov V., Dloussky G., Bihermitian metrics on Hopf surfaces. *Math. Res. Lett.* **15** (2008), no. 5, 827–839.

[AD15] Apostolov V., Dloussky G., Locally conformally symplectic structures on compact non-Khler complex surfaces. arXiv:1501.02687.

[AGG99] Apostolov, V., Gauduchon, P., Grantcharov, G., Bi-Hermitian structures on complex surfaces. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **79** (1999), no. 2, 414–428; *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **92** (2006), no. 1, 200–202.

[AG07] Apostolov V., Gualtieri M., Generalized Kähler manifolds, commuting complex structures and split tangent bundle. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **271** (2007) 561-575.

[AHS78] Atiyah M.F., Hitchin N.J., Singer I..M., Self-duality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry. *Proc. R. Soc.* A **362** (1978) 425-461.

[Be00] Belgun, F. A., On the metric structure of non-Kähler complex surfaces. *Math. Ann.* **317** (2000) 1–40.

[Bo86] Boyer, C.P. Conformal duality and compact complex surfaces. *Math. Ann.* **274** (1986) 517-526.

[Bo88] ———, A note on hyperhemitian four-manifolds. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **102** (1988) 157-164.

[Br10] Brunella, M. Locally conformally Kähler metrics on certain non-Kählerian surfaces. *Math. Ann.* (2010) **346** 629 – 639.

[Br11] ——— Locally conformally Kähler metrics on Kato surfaces. *Nagoya Math. J* **202** (2011), 77-81.

[CG11] Cavalcanti G., Gualtieri M. Blowing up generalized Khler 4-manifolds. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **42** (2011), no. 4, 537–557.

[DO99] Dloussky, G. ; Oeljeklaus, K. Vector fields and foliations an compact surfaces of class VII_0. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **49** (1999) 1503 – 1545.

[DOT03] Dloussky, G.; Oeljeklaus, K.; Toma, M. Class VII0 surfaces with b2 curves. *Tohoku Math. J.* (2) **55** (2003) 283-309.

[Dl06] Dloussky, G., On surfaces of class VII_0 with numerically anticanonical divisor. *Amer. J. Math.* **128** (2006), no. 3, 639–670.

[Dl11] ——— Quadratic forms and singularities of genus one or two. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse* **XX** (2011) 15 – 69.

[Dl12] ——— From non-Kählerian surfaces to Cremona group of $\mathbb{CP}_2$. ArXiv...

[Dl14] ——— From non-Kählerian surfaces to Cremona group of $\mathbb{CP}_2$. *Complex manifolds* **1** (2014) 1 – 33.

[DF89] Donaldson S., Friedman R. Connected sums of self-dual manifolds and deformations of singular spaces. *Nonlinearity* **2** (1989) 197–239.

[En81] Enoki, I. Surfaces of class VII_0 with curves. *Thoku Math. J.* (2) **33** (1981) 453492.

[FP10] Fujiki, A., Pontecorvo, M., Anti-self-dual bihermitian structures on Inoue surfaces. *J. Differential Geom.* **85** (2010), no. 1, 15–71.

[FP14] Fujiki, A., Pontecorvo, M., Twistors and bi-Hermitian surfaces of non-Kähler type. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications (SIGMA).* **10** (2014) Paper 042, 13 pp.

[FP15] Fujiki, A., Pontecorvo, M., Numerically anti-canonical divisors on Kato surfaces. *J. Geom. Phys.* **91** (2015) 117–130.

[Ga81] Gauduchon P., Le théorème de dualité pluriharminique. *C.R. Acad. Sci Paris* **293** (1981) 59–63.

[Ga84] Gauduchon P., La 1-form de torsion d'une variété hermitienne compacte. *Math. Ann* **267** (1984) 495–518.

[GO99] Gauduchon P., Ornea L., Locally conformally Kähler metrics on Hopf surfaces. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **48** (1998) 1107-1127.

[Go12] Goto, R. Unobstructed K-deformations of generalized complex structures and bi-Hermitian structures. *Adv. Math.* **231** (2012) 1041–1067.

[Go14] ——— On the stability of locally conformal Khler structures. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **66** (2014) 1375–1401.

[Ha95] J. Hausen. Zur Klassifikation of glatter kompakter C * -Fl"achen. *Math. Ann.* **301** (1995) 763–769.

[Hi81] Hitchin, N. J. Kählerian twistor spaces. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **43** (1981) 133–150.

[Hi06-1] ——— Instantons and generalized Kähler geometry. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **265** (2006) 131–164.

[Ka77] Kato, Ma. Compact complex manifolds containing "global" spherical shells. *I. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Algebraic Geometry* (Kyoto Univ., Kyoto, 1977), pp. 45–84, Kinokuniya Book Store, Tokyo, 1978.

[In77] Inoue, M. New surfaces with no meromorphic functions. II. *Complex analysis and algebraic geometry* 91–106. Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1977.

[Le91] Lebrun, C.R., Anti-self-dual Hermitian metrics on blown-up Hopf surfaces. *Math. Ann* **289** (1991) 383–392.

[dLLMP03] M. de León, B. López, J.C. Marrero, E. Padrón. On the computation of the Lichnerowicz-Jacobi cohomology. *J. Geom. Phys.* **44** (2003) 507 – 522.

[Na89] Nakamura, I. Classification of non-Khler complex surfaces. (Japanese) Translated in *Sugaku Expositions* **2** (1989) 209–229. Sugaku 36 (1984), no. 2, 110124.

[OT08] Oeljeklaus, K.; Toma, M. Logarithmic moduli spaces for surfaces of class VII. *Math. Ann.* **341** (2008) 323 – 345.

[Po92] Pontecorvo M., On twistor spaces of anti-self-dual Hermitian surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **331** (1992) 653–661.

[Po97] Pontecorvo M., Complex structures on Riemannian four-manifolds. *Math. Ann.* **309** (1997), no. 1, 159–177.

[Tr74] Tricerri, F. Sulle varietà dotate di due strutture quasi complesse linearmente indipendenti. *Riv.Mat.Univ.Parma* (3) **3** (1974) 349-358.